

Planning Team Report

Great Lakes Flood Planning Maps - Amendment to Great Lakes LEP 2014

Proposal Title :	Great Lakes Flood Planning N	laps - Amendment to Great	Lakes LEP 2014		
Proposal Summary :	The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Flood Planning Area Maps of the Great Lakes Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2014. It is proposed to amend the maps to refine the existing flood planning areas and identify additional areas using information from: 1. The First Pass Rural Flood Study 2. The Lower Myall River and Myall Lakes Flood Study 3. The waterRIDE GIS planning tool				
PP Number :	PP_2014_GLAKE_002_00	Dop File No :	14/13306		
roposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	22-Aug-2014	LGA covered :	Great Lakes		
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Great Lakes Council		
State Electorate :	MYALL LAKES PORT STEPHENS	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Policy				
_ocation Details					
Street :					
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :		
Land Parcel : LG	BA wide				
DoP Planning Offi	icer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Dylan Meade		×		
Contact Number :	0249042718				
Contact Email :	dylan.meade@planning.nsw.gov.au				
RPA Contact Deta	ails				
Contact Name :	Alexander Macvean				
Contact Number :	0265917348				
Contact Email :	alexandra.macvean@greatlake	s.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	iger Contact Details				
Contact Name :					
Contact Number :					
Contact Email :					

and Release Data		1.8	
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Governmen Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	t Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :		as originally received on 7 August gust 2014. A revised planning prop	
External Supporting Notes :		÷	
equacy Assessme	nt		
	bjectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the o	bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The statement of the o	objectives explains that the plannin in accordance with updated flood	
	The statement of obje	ctives is supported.	
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55((2)(b)	341
Is an explanation of pr	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment : The explanation of provisions provided explains that the planning proposal will am			

The explanation of provisions is supported.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 4.3 Flood Prone Land

* May need the Director General's agreement

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council proposes to undertake community consultation of the planning proposal and the flood studies at the same time. This approach is supported.

Council has not nominated the proposed length of community consultation. It is recommended that a minimum 14 day community consultation period be required.

Council has not nominated State agencies for consultation. To ensure consistency of the proposed flood planning maps with the NSW Floodplain Development Manual, it is recommended that Council consult the Office of Environment and Heritage.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : April 2014

The Great Lakes LEP 2014 was gazetted in April 2014.

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

MID NORTH COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (MNCRS)

The planning proposal is considered consistent with the MNCRS. A relevant outcome of the MNCRS is "appropriate planning provisions will be incorporated in local environmental plans consistent with the Floodplain Development Manual and council's risk management plan to minimise the risk from flooding and coastal erosion". The proposal's intent to update the Flood Planning Maps is considered consistent with this outcome. Consistency with the Floodplain Development Manual is discussed below under Section 117 Directions.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs)

*SEPP 71 - Coastal Protection

This SEPP is applicable as the land is within the coastal zone. The planning proposal is considered consistent with this SEPP and matters specified under clause 8 of the SEPP, particularly the consideration of likely impact of coastal processes.

The planning proposal is considered consistent with all other relevant SEPPs.

LOCAL PLANNING (SECTION 117) DIRECTIONS

4.3 Flood Prone Land

Clause 8 of the Direction requires that a relevant planning authority must not determine a flood planning level that is inconsistent with the Floodplain Development Manual 2005.

The proposal seeks to use a flood planning area based on a 1% AEP event, with a 0.9m Sea Level Rise Event. The use of the 1% AEP event with a 0.9m Sea Level Rise Event is a decision by Council consistent with the NSW State Government policy that sea level rise benchmarks are a matter for local councils to determine. This flood planning level is used as the basis for the flood planning maps in the Great Lakes LEP 2014, and the planning proposal seeks to amend the LEP consistent with existing flood planning provisions.

It is recommended that Council consult the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) to ensure the flood studies are consistent with the Floodplain Development Manual 2005, as well as with any subsequent guidance issued.

5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies As discussed above, it is considered that the proposal is consistent with the MNCRS.

DRAFT PLANNING CIRCULAR - COASTAL HAZARD NOTIFICATIONS ON SECTION 149 PLANNING CERTIFICATES

The planning proposal was assessed for consistency with the Draft Planning Circular 'Coastal hazard notifications on Section 149 planning certificates' exhibited from 30 January 2014. The existing Maps and Clause 7.3 Flood Planning in Great Lakes LEP 2014 do not distinguish between current and future risk with the flood planning area referring to '1% AEP event with a 0.9m Sea Level Rise Event'. However, the draft Planning Circular only requires notations on Section 149 Planning Certificates to be consistent with this policy, and does not apply to planning proposals.

The draft Circular mentions that 'a section 117 Direction will be issued to ensure compliance with an evidence-based approach and consistency in application of coastal hazard certificates along the coast by councils.' The proposal has not been assessed against this to be issued Section 117 Direction as it is not yet available.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Environmental social

economic impacts :

The proposal is considered to have no environmental impacts.

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC

It is considered that updating flood planning areas will result in long term financial

benefits by identifying areas and development at risk.

Assessment Process

	-				
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :					
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(t	o) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	tudies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons	s :				
No internal consultatio Is the provision and func If Yes, reasons :		cture relevan	t to this plan? No		
Document File Name			DocumentType N	ame	Is Public
2014-08-26 Updated Copy of Planning proposal Amendment to Flood Planning Maps of Great Lakes Local Environmental Plan 2014 August 2014.pdf			Proposal		Yes
anning Team Recom	mendation				
Preparation of the plann	ing proposal suppor	ted at this sta	ge : Recommended with Con	ditions	
S.117 directions:	117 directions: 4.3 Flood Prone Land				
Additional Information :	The planning proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:				
	1. Council is to exhibition with t	-	raft Flood Planning Maps and proposal.	supporting stud	dies on public
	include a projec	t timeline, co	lic exhibition, Council is to u onsistent with Section 2.6 Par ject timeline is to provide a n	t 6 of A Guide to	Preparing

Great Lakes Flood Planning Maps - Amendment to Great Lakes LEP 2014			
	3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:		
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).		
	4. Consultation is required with Office of Environment and Heritage under section 56(2) (d) of the Act. The public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, including supporting flood studies, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.		
	5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).		
	6. The timeframe for completing the Local Environmental Plan is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.		
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported as the amended flood planning maps will provide more refined flood planning information for the Great Lakes LGA.		
Signature:	Kodelat		
Printed Name:	KOFLAMERTY Date: 28-8-2014		